

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/826,899	04/16/2004	Syun-Ming Jang	24061.231/TSMC2003-1383 2231		
42717 7	590 02/08/2006		EXAMINER		
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3100			LOUIE, WAI SING		
DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2814		
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/826,899	JANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Wai-Sing Louie	2814	

Advisory Action	10/826,899	JANG ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Wai-Sing Louie	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 04 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
 a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv 	-	e final rejection, whicheve	r is later. In no			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on) and the appropriate exte	nsion fee have			
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f. will not be entered b	pecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		,000			
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloe) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in betoe 	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, -	jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s).			_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>41-50</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	_			
13. Other:		the	/			
		Wai-Sing Louie Patent Examiner	``			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Choi discloses two different kind of transistors, i.e. DRAM and MOSFET, where both transistors have low-K gate dielectric. However, the DRAM of Chidambarrao is high-K dielectric. Chidambarro et al. teach the high-K diel could be extremely thin and allows a high-speed performance for DRAM. Therefore, Choi's DRAM could be modified by t teaching of Chidambarro to have a high-K gate dielectric. The combination is proper and meets the limitations of the claimed invention.